Self- or Peer-Evaluation Checklist for Essay Answers

Before reading the essay, perform a visual inspection to see if the paper's appearance is professional: Is the essay neat and legible? Has the writer used paragraphs to mark the progression of the essay or is it a "stream of consciousness"? Are there headings (if appropriate) to lead the reader easily through the answer? Read the essay for a sense of style and tone: If a role was required by the call-of-the-question, did the writer assume that role in the answer? Did the writer avoid use of such language as "I feel," I believe," "clearly," and "obviously"? Was the writer's style clear and concise, making it easy for the reader to follow and П understand the points being made? Read the essay and focus on organization and substance: Has the writer addressed the specific call-of-the-question? Is the answer organized according to a basic IRAC structure or appropriate variation, CIRAC or IR/A construction, where fact analysis always follows rule identification? Has the writer correctly identified all the relevant issues? Is there an adequate explanation of the applicable rule? Consider the following: Has the writer used legal terminology and defined all relevant terms of art? Is the rule written in its logical order which is usually from the general to the specific? Is there a reliance on "buzz-words" without "back up" explanation? Is there "enough" rule to provide an adequate context for analyzing the facts? Is there identification of the relevant exception but no statement of the general rule to provide context? Is the law applied to the facts? Consider the following Are the facts explained in light of their legal significance? Does the writer use the word "because to make the connection between rule and fact and thus avoid conclusory statements? Is every conclusion supported by an explanation of the "why" behind it? Is every fact of consequence "used" in the analysis? Have the counter-arguments been addressed?

This checklist was adapted from one created by Professors Michael Hunter Schwartz and Denise Riebe.

Does the essay end with an express conclusion if required by the question?

Does the essay seque appropriately between issues and sub-issues?